Universität Hamburg

“ Partisan Favouritism in Extremist Media. A Content Analysis of El Salvadorian Newspapers."

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts at the University of Hamburg

Submitted to
Prof. Dr. Uwe Hassebbrink …… (1st supervisor)

And

Prof. Dr. Hajo Boomgaarden …… (2nd supervisor)

Submitted by
Ricardo José Valencia Pineda
Born in San Salvador, El Salvador

Hamburg, 5/3/2010

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments 1

1. Introduction 2

2.Theoretical and Analytical Framework 6
2.1Theoretical definitions 6
2.1.2 Partisan Bias 7
2.1.3 Editorial Endorsement 8
2.1.4 A more complete approach of Partisan Favouritism 10
2.1.5 Why Extremist Newspapers? 11
2.2 Alternative approaches to bias 12
2.2.1Framing 13
2.2.2 Agenda Setting 14
2.3 Analytical Framework 15
2.3.1 Placement 15
2.3.2.Tone 18
2.3.3 Sources 22
2.4 Previous Studies 30
2.4.1 Elections 1999 30
2.4.2. Elections 2009 31
2.4.3 Qualitative studies 32
2.5 Critics 34
2.5.1 Janus et al. and European Union 34
2.5.2 Qualitative analyses 35
2.6 Beyond the simplistic finding of bias 35

3. Background 37
3.1 Elections for two 37

3.2 FMLN and Funes' possibility of winning 38
3.3 ARENA, 20 years in power 39
3.4 Pools before the elections: the gap is closing 40
3.5 External Actors: United States, Brazil and Venezuela 41
3.6 Media Landscape 42
3.6.1 Historical press alignment 42
3.7 Newspapers background 45
3.7.1 El Diario de Hoy 45
3.7.2 Diario Co Latino 45

4.Empirical Investigation 47
4.1. Research questions 47
4.2 Operationalization of research questions 47
4.3 Methodology 48
4.3.1 Content analysis 48
4.3.2 Justification of the method 50
4.3.3 Advantages and disadvantages of the Quantitative Content Analysis 50
4.4 Sampling units and Techniques of Sampling 51
4.4.1: Period 51
4.4.2 Content Unit 53
4.4.3 Samples Technique 54
4.4.5 Justification of analysis units 54
4.5 Instruments and Concepts 55
4.6 Intracoder Reliability 72

5.Results 76
5.1 Which party receives more space? 76
5.2 Which party receives more prominent coverage?) 78
5.3 What was the tone of the coverage for FMLN and ARENA? 79
5.4 What type of source by group affiliation was more frequently used? 81
5.5 By affiliation group, which source was usually placed in the highest prominence positions 84

5.6 What sources' role was more frequently used? 89
5.7 By source roles, which individual source was usually placed in highest prominence positions? 92

6.Conclusions 94
6.1 Divergences 94
6.2 Commonalities 96

7. End Notes 98

Bibliography 99

List of Tables 111

List of Graphs 112

Apendix 1 113

Apendix 2 114